

PROJECT LOGICAL FRAMEWORK, November 2009

| | | | | | | |
|---------|---|--|---|---|-----------------------|---|
| GOAL | To enhance the effectiveness and sustainability of Iran’s system of wetland protected areas (WPAs) as a tool for conserving globally significant biodiversity | | | | | |
| PURPOSE | To systematically remove or substantially mitigate threats facing globally significant biodiversity and sustainability at two WPA demonstration sites, while ensuring that the lessons learned are absorbed within WPA management systems throughout Iran | | | | | |
| | | INDICATORS | TARGETS | BASELINE | MEANS OF VERIFICATION | RISKS/ASSUMPTIONS |
| | | Population of indicator bird species in Lake Uromiyeh and satellite wetlands | <u>Flamingos</u> >2,500 breeding pairs annually <u>White Pelican</u> >200 breeding pairs annually <u>4 globally threatened waterbirds</u> 20% increase in counts | 209 pairs, Average 2003-2006. (Was 15-25,000prs in mid 1970s (Scott 1995)) 110 Pairs, Average 2003-2006. (Was 1000-1600 prs in mid 1970s (Scott 1995)) Average 2003-2006 (all satellite wets.) Marmaronetta angustirostris ¹ :9 Oxyura leucocephala ² : 40 Aythya nyroca ³ :27 Branta ruficollis ⁴ : 1 TOTAL: 77 | Waterbird counts | Externally arising threats (eg and climate change/drought) negate efforts to sustainably manage the sites Government institutions are willing to collaborate inter-sectorally, and adapt their strategies in-line with the project approach Provinces are willing to collaborate Co-financing commitments are realised |

¹ Marbled Teal² White-headed Duck³ Ferruginous Duck⁴ Red-breasted Goose

| | | INDICATORS | TARGETS | BASELINE | MEANS OF VERIFICATION | RISKS / ASSUMPTIONS |
|--|--|---|--|---|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| | | Lake Uromiyeh's status and salinity levels | Safeguard as "a magnificent example of a natural, hyper-saline lake with great scenic beauty." Salinity less than 240 gr/lit ⁵ . | The current status of "a magnificent example of a natural, hyper-saline lake with great scenic beauty" at risk due to increased salinity levels and decreased water levels. Salinity 258.46 gr/lit | Annual management reports | |
| | | Area of protected satellite wetlands around Lake Uromiyeh | 1000 ha satellite wetlands gain increased protection | 0ha | DOE list of protected areas | |
| | | Breeding population of globally threatened Dalmation Pelican at Lake Parishan | A 30% increase by the end of project | There is no 2000-05 data on breeding population. Scott (1995) quotes 5-10 pairs for mid 1970s Wintering: 64 (2000-05 Jan. average) | Waterbird counts | |
| | | Area of disputed agricultural lands encroached into Lake Parishan | Reduced by 50% | Ca. XXX ha | Annual management reports | |
| | | Ecosystem approach being applied strategically to WPAs at national level | Ecosystem approach to WPAs being promoted through national strategy by end 2010 and being implemented in minimum 5 provinces by EoP | No strategy 0 provinces | NWCSAP | |

⁵ Maximum acceptable level for Artemia is about 230-240 gr/lit while optimum is about 150 gr/lit.

| | OUTPUTS | INDICATORS | TARGETS | BASELINE | MEANS OF VERIFICATION | RISKS / ASSUMPTIONS |
|------------------|--|---|---|--|---|---|
| Outcome 1 | Model wetland management system designed and being implemented by DOE and other local stakeholders at demonstration sites to effectively address the most significant 'internally arising' threats to globally significant biodiversity | | | | | |
| Output 1.1 | Ecosystem-based management plans developed, approved and regularly evaluated by well trained DOE and key stakeholders | Number of staff of DOE and other key stakeholders trained in ecosystem-based management | Training provided in a minimum of 4 key subjects for at least 25 staff of DOE and other key stakeholders at demonstration sites by 2010 | 0 persons 0 key subjects | Training course reports | Improved knowledge and skills are effectively used |
| | | Signed Management Plans | LU and LP management plans designed and approved by end 2009 and Shadegan by end 2010, with 1 review carried out for each by end 2011. | No signed management plans for either site 0 Reviews | Signed management plans Review reports | Inter-sectoral and inter-provincial cooperation |
| | | Number of successful priority actions from management plans | 50% of priority actions delivering improvements by EoP | 0 | Reports | |
| | | Management guided by baseline studies and monitoring key indicators | Baseline studies and monitoring plans completed by end 2008, site annual reports produced in 2009, 2010 and 2011 and 50% of protocols being implemented by end 2011 | LP No baseline LU: Yekom 2002 Shadegan 2002 No monitoring plans 0% implementation | Baseline studies Monitoring plan Annual reports | Lead agencies are willing to accept their responsibilities for monitoring |
| Output 1.2 | Conservation of wetland biodiversity enhanced by implementation of management plans | Area of wetland habitats conserved and restored | Wetland boundaries identified and marked by end 2009, 1000 ha satellite wetlands better protected by end 2010, and 500ha wetlands restored by end 2011 | Area delineated and marked = 0 ha Area with enhanced protection = 0 ha Area restored = 0ha | Annual reports | Enforcement if not respected |
| | | Population status of important species | Population of status of important species enhanced (See "Goal") | See "Goal" | See "Goal" | See "Goal" |

| | OUTPUTS | INDICATORS | TARGETS | BASELINE | MEANS OF VERIFICATION | RISKS / ASSUMPTIONS |
|------------|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| | | | targets) | | | |
| Output 1.3 | Sustainable use of wetland/local resources reducing direct threats to the lake and providing alternative livelihoods by implementation of management plans | Implementation of sustainable ecotourism strategies | Ecotourism Zoning plans developed and approved by end 2009 (Shadegan, 2010). Sustainable eco-tourism strategies approved LP by end 2010, Shadegan by end 2011, and 3 ecotourism initiatives sustained by EOP | 0 Tourism strategy 0 zoning plans 3 ecotourism initiatives | Zoning plans Strategies Annual reports | Drought Constraints on demand |
| | | Implementation of sustainable fisheries strategies | Fisheries Zoning plans developed and approved by end 2009 (Shadegan, 2010), Sustainable fishery strategies approved by end 2010 for LP and 2011 for Shadegan and 1 cooperative operating by EOP | 0 Fisheries strategy 0 zoning plans 0 cooperatives | Zoning plans Strategies Annual reports | Cooperation of fishermen Drought |
| | | Eco-village initiatives | Pilot eco-villages selected / activated for LP by end 2010, LU and Shadegan by end 2011 | 0 eco-villages | Reports | Cooperation of villagers |
| Output 1.4 | Local communities aware of values and actively participating in management of demonstration sites | Awareness of local communities | 20% of local population have been engaged by direct "wetland" awareness raising activities by end 2010 (LP/LU) and 2011(Shadegan), and "Wetland" awareness of local communities raised by 20% by EoP | Small-scale sectoral activities by NGOs Awareness = XXX | Activity reports | |
| | | Civil society involvement in governance | NGOs and local communities strengthened and represented on | 0 | Management plans | Existing disputes with users are resolved |

| | OUTPUTS | INDICATORS | TARGETS | BASELINE | MEANS OF VERIFICATION | RISKS / ASSUMPTIONS |
|------------------|---|--|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| | | | management committees by end 2008 | | | |
| | | Community participation in priority activities from management plans | Local communities participate in 25% of priority actions of management plans by EOP | 0% | | |
| OUTCOME 2 | Model intersectoral coordination demonstrated at provincial and basin level enhances the sustainability of the wetland conservation system by, inter alia helping to address threats arising at ecosystem level. | | | | | |
| Output 2.1 | Inter-sectoral governance and institutional mechanisms established at demonstration sites | Appropriate high level, intersectoral governance | Lake Uromiyeh Basin Council or Authority established by end 2009 and meeting minimum once per year | No Council, 0 meetings | Declaration | Governmental resolve for action |
| | | Management committees | Inter-sectoral management committees established by end 2009 (2010 Shadegan) and meeting at least twice per year | No committees 0 meetings | Management plans | Willingness for intersectoral and inter-provincial cooperation. |
| | | Working Groups | 3 Inter-sectoral working groups for LU and LP established by end 2007 (end 2010 for Shadegan) and meeting at least twice per year | No working groups No meetings | Project reports | Commitment of decision-makers and managers |
| | | Secretariats | Secretariats established for LU and LP by end 2010 and Shadegan end 2011 | No secretariats | Project reports | Commitment of decision-makers |
| Output 2.2 | Water requirements of wetlands secured through successful implementation of IWRM | Water allocations to environment | Provincial water allocations to LU approved by end 2009 (mid 2011 for Shadegan), and being implemented by end 2011 (EOP for Shadegan) | No allocations | Project reports | Political will to resolve critical long-term issues |
| | | Drought / climate change adaptation measures | Drought protocols for LU by end 2010, Shadegan | 0 | Protocols | Political will to resolve critical |

| | OUTPUTS | INDICATORS | TARGETS | BASELINE | MEANS OF VERIFICATION | RISKS / ASSUMPTIONS |
|------------------|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | | | end 2011 | | | long-term issues Drought |
| | | Sustainable abstraction of groundwater | Strategy for sustainable abstraction of groundwater at LP agreed by mid 2010 and being implemented by 2010 | No strategy and 0% implementation | Report | Political will to resolve critical long-term issues Drought |
| Output 2.3 | Sustainable agriculture, land and waste management practices reduce threats to wetlands | Reduction in pollutant discharges from key point sources to wetlands | 10% reduction in 2/5 most damaging inputs to LP and LU by EoP | Awaiting baseline | Baseline Reports | Enforcement |
| | | Reduction in fertiliser and pesticide/herbicide applications within 1 km of wetlands | 10% reduction around LP and 2 LU satellite wetlands by EOP | Awaiting baseline | Baseline Reports | |
| | | Irrigation efficiency | Irrigation efficiency improves 3% for LP and LU by EOP | Awaiting baseline | Strategy Reports | Engagement of Jihad Agriculture |
| OUTCOME 3 | National level wetland management and inter-sectoral coordination structures possess and utilize enhanced capacities, and the model system developed through Outcomes 1&2 above is applied to wetlands throughout Iran through strategies, replications, tools and exchange of knowledge and lessons learned | | | | | |
| Output 3.1 | National DOE and inter-sectoral capacity to apply the ecosystem approach to wetlands raised | Raised capacity for ecosystem approach | Capacity of 50 key staff from DOE, MOE, MOJA and other key sectors raised to address the ecosystem approach to wetlands, by end 2010 | 0 | Training course and workshop reports | High level support within DOE |
| | | Evidence on threats and management effectiveness | Assessment of threats and management effectiveness at all nationally important wetlands in Iran available by mid 2010 | 0 | Report | |
| Output 3.2 | National system established to plan and | Wetland conservation policy and | Policy / Plan approved by end 2010, with clear | No policy or plan No "owner" | Policy / plan | Political will |

| | OUTPUTS | INDICATORS | TARGETS | BASELINE | MEANS OF VERIFICATION | RISKS / ASSUMPTIONS |
|------------|---|--|---|---------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| | roll-out demonstration model approach to wetlands throughout Iran | implementation plan | “ownership”, by national committee | 0 provinces | | |
| | | Policies influenced in direction of ecosystem approach | Project influences at least 3 key policy issues in direction of ecosystem approach for wetland management | 0 | Project reports | Political will |
| | | Iran Wetland Database and Guidelines | National tools, including wetland database and 4 key guidelines, available by EoP | 0 | Project reports | |
| | | Number of provinces using the system | All provinces introduced to the system, and 3 new provinces starting implementing it by EOP | 0 | Project reports | |
| Output 3.3 | Public awareness of wetland values is raised | Public awareness of wetlands | National public awareness of wetland values raised by 20% by EOP | Baseline: XXX | Awareness surveys | |
| Output 3.4 | Effective project management | Evaluation results | Mid-term and Terminal Evaluations give Satisfactory assessments | 0 | MTE, TE | |
| | | % annual activities achieved | PCO management delivers at least 80% of annual work plan activities | 0 | SIPA reports | |

NB. Main changes to logframe made in November 2009 (post MTE Recommendations)

1. Shadegan added as project demonstration site (not replication site)
2. Outputs in Outcomes 1&2 expanded/strengthened to focus on root causes
3. Emphasis shifted from “wetland protected areas” to “wetlands”
4. New Output (3.4) added to address project management (mainly for budgeting purposes).
5. Concept of replication sites replaced by national policy / plan and broad roll-out strategy across country